REMARKS

The present Response is fully responsive to the Non-Final Office Action dated February 6, 2008. Claims 1-37 remain pending. Additionally, the present Response is being filed in conjunction with six terminal disclaimers. Reconsideration of the application is requested in view of the terminal disclaimers and the following remarks.

Claim Objection

In the Non-Final Office Action, dependent Claim 32 was objected to due to a typographical error. Specifically, the Office Action contended that the recitation of "record" should be amended to recite "records."

In response, the Applicants respectfully submit that dependent Claim 32 is in proper form and recites "records" rather than "record." Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the objection to dependent Claim 32 be withdrawn.

Double Patenting Rejections

In the Non-Final Office Action mailed February 6, 2008, Claims 1-37 were provisionally rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-37 of co-pending U.S. Pat. App. No. 10/711,147. Additionally, Claims 1-37 were rejected on the ground of non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,820,202; Claims 1-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,820,199; Claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 7,032,112; Claims 1-38 of U.S. Patent No. 7,089,421; and Claims 1-44 of U.S. Patent No. 7,257,228.

In response, six terminal disclaimers are submitted in conjunction with the present Response. The terminal disclaimers are respectively directed to the following patents and patent applications:

U.S. Patent No. 6,820,202

U.S. Patent No. 6,820,199

U.S. Patent No. 7,032,112

U.S. Patent No. 7,089,421

U.S.S.N. 11/711,149 Filed: August 27, 2004

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Page 3 of 4

U.S. Patent No. 7,257,228

U.S. Pat. App. No. 10/711,147

Each of the patents and patent applications for which terminal disclaimers are submitted are believed to be co-owned by the Assignee of the present application.

In view of the terminal disclaimers, it is respectfully requested that the non-statutory obviousness-type double patenting rejections be withdrawn. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that pending Claims 1-37 of the present application are in condition for allowance.

U.S.S.N. 11/711,149 Filed: August 27, 2004

RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

Page 4 of 4

CONCLUSION

The Applicants believe that each matter raised by the Office Action has been responded to. Allowance of the claims is respectfully solicited. It is not believed that extensions of time or fees for addition of claims are required in this paper. However, in the event that additional extensions of time are necessary to allow consideration of this paper, such extensions are hereby petitioned under 37 CFR § 1.136(a), and any fee required therefore (including fees for net addition of claims) is hereby authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-5029.

If there are any issues which can be resolved by teleconference or an Examiner's Amendment, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

Rhett S. White

Reg. No. 59,158

Date: May 1, 2008

SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP

999 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3996 Telephone: (404) 853-8037

Facsimile: (404) 853-8806

Attorney Docket No.: 34250-1016